

WRIA 1 Watershed Management Plan – Phase 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface

Acknowledgements

Executive Summary

Section 1 – Introduction

Section 2 - Assessments, Problem Identification, and Findings

2.1 Purpose	1
2.2 Approach	2
2.3 Summary of Specific Methods, Key Findings, and Next Steps	7
2.3.1 Technical Assessment	7
2.3.1.1 Watershed Delineation	7
2.3.1.2 Land Cover	13
2.3.1.3 Water Quantity	17
2.3.1.4 Water Quality	63
2.3.1.5 Instream Flow	83
2.3.1.6 Fish Habitat	100
2.3.1.7 Decision Support System	117
2.3.2 Socioeconomic Assessment	132
2.3.3 Local Perspectives	148
2.4 Overview of Technical Validation Process	157
2.5 Report and Study Reference Guide	160

Section 3 - WRIA 1 Watershed Action Plan to Address Key Issues

3.1 Purpose	1
3.2 Approach	2
3.3 Early Activities	6
3.3.1 Early Action Projects	6
3.3.1.1 Early Action Project Evaluation Guidelines	6
3.3.1.2 Early Action Proposals	7

3.3.2	WRIA 1 Multipurpose Water Storage Options Assessment	11
3.4	WRIA 1 Management Option Catalog	13
3.4.1	Purpose of the WRIA 1 Management Option Catalog	13
3.4.2	Development of the WRIA 1 Management Option Catalog	13
3.5	WRIA 1 Instream Flow Selection and Adoption Action Plan	15
3.5.1	Background Purpose	15
3.5.2	Developing the Instream Flow Action Plan	15
3.5.3	General Overview of Instream Flow Action Plan	18
3.5.4	Next Steps	19
3.6	WRIA 1 Pilot Projects	21
3.6.1	Definition and Purpose	21
3.6.2	Selection Process for Pilot Projects	21
3.6.3	Overview of WRIA 1 Pilot Project Recommendations	23
3.7	WRIA 1 Wide Programs	37
3.7.1	Purpose	37
3.7.2	Selection Process for WRIA-Wide Programs	37
3.7.3	Overview of Recommended WRIA-Wide Programs	40
3.8	Other Recommendations for WRIA 1 Watershed Management Plan	63
3.8.1	Purpose	63
3.8.2	Process for Submitting “Other Management Recommendations”	63
3.8.3	Overview of “Other Management Recommendations”	64
3.9	Lake Whatcom Watershed	65
3.9.1	Purpose	65
3.9.2	Background	65
3.10	WRIA 1 Long Term Monitoring Plan	68
3.10.1	Background/Purpose	68
3.10.2	Approach	68
3.10.3	Actions Taken and/or Underway to Date	69
3.10.3.1	Review/Summary of Existing Programs	69
3.10.3.2	Initial Monitoring Recommendations by USU	69
3.10.3.3	Initial Recommendations by Local Participants	71
3.10.3.4	Water Quality Monitoring Program – Centennial Grant	72
3.10.4	Additional Actions Needed	73
3.11	Summary of Actions to Address Key Issues	74

SECTION 4: Governance and Implementation, Long-Term Monitoring, and Adaptive Management

4.1	Purpose and Requirements	1
-----	--------------------------	---

4.2 Governance Structure and Implementation Strategy	2
4.2.1 Approach for Developing the Governance Structure and Implementation Strategy	2
4.2.2 WRIA 1 Interim Strategy	4
4.2.3 WRIA 1 Long-Term Strategy	6
4.3 Adaptive Management	7

SECTION 5- SUMMARY OF 2005/2006 IMPLEMENTATION ACTIONS

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Summary of hydraulic parameters
Table 2.2: Water quality concerns and potential causes for eight geographic areas in WRIA 1
Table 2.3: Areas and objectives for WRIA 1 water quality models
Table 2.4: Annual maximum concentration of EDB and 1,2-DCP exceeding the respective MCLs
Table 2.5: Water quality and site characteristics measured at intensive sites
Table 2.6: Instream flow study sites in WRIA 1 (2000-2001)
Table 2.7: Projected job growth 2001-2022
Table 2.8: Water use by user group
Table 5.1: 2005/2006 Implementation Summary

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Map of WRIA 1 and general information about the area
Figure 2.1: Overview of assessment work, parties involved, and timeline
Figure 2.2: Surface water delineation boundaries used by the WRIA 1 Watershed Management Project
Figure 2.3: Graphical representation of the naming convention used by WRIA 1 to describe the nesting of watersheds
Figure 2.4: Map of existing land cover for WRIA 1
Figure 2.5: Historic land cover interpretation by USU for WRIA 1
Figure 2.6: Water balance diagram
Figure 2.7: WRIA 1 stream gages for Phase II assessments
Figure 2.8: Average annual precipitation
Figure 2.9: Mean annual precipitation using PRISM
Figure 2.10: Annual evapotranspiration estimates
Figure 2.11: Estimated naturalized annual runoff from WRIA 1 drainages
Figure 2.12: Uncertainty estimates associated with runoff estimates

- Figure 2.13: Monthly water use for metered (termed PWS) and non-metered (termed non-PWS) categories
- Figure 2.14: Monthly estimates of non-metered (termed non-PWS) use by major use type
- Figure 2.14a: Total annual percent water use for major categories of use in WRIA 1
- Figure 2.15: Location of surficial aquifers in WRIA 1
- Figure 2.16: Location of wells associated with different aquifer types
- Figure 2.17: Hydraulic conductivity values for the Lynden, Everson, Nooksack, and Sumas (LENS) area
- Figure 2.18: Location of wells in the Central Lands with a period of record of well water level data greater than 12 months
- Figure 2.19: Time series for well number 7 of Figure 2-17
- Figure 2.20: Cumulative precipitation and base flow, South Fork Nooksack, 1967-1975
- Figure 2.21: Results of seepage run for the South Fork of the Nooksack River
- Figure 2.22: Results of seepage run for the mainstem of the Nooksack River
- Figure 2.23: Summary of annual water allocation by region, and application, certificates, permits, and selected claims for WRIA 1
- Figure 2.24: Water right applications and associated water volume for drainages within WRIA 1
- Figure 2.25: Overview of closure status within WRIA 1
- Figure 2.26: 303(d) listed stream segments in the tributaries to the mainstem of the Nooksack River
- Figure 2.27: Number of stream segments listed for a given parameter in WRIA 1
- Figure 2.28: Aggregated surface water areas of WRIA 1 used in the USU analysis to define the regional ground water quality.
- Figure 2.29: Number of years that the annual mean concentration of nitrate in a given aggregated drainage was between identified ranges, from 1990-2000
- Figure 2.30: Delineation of Sumas-Blaine aquifer in the U.S. portion of WRIA 1 in relation to the corresponding surface water drainages overlapping with the aquifer
- Figure 2.31: Hypothetical illustration of the flow components essential for maintaining the ecological health of the stream system
- Figure 2.32: Overview of technical steps of the WRIA 1 Watershed Management Project instream flow element
- Figure 2.33: Example of field based physical characterization at an intensive study site
- Figure 2.34: Relationship between bimodal-intensity index and hydrograph shape characteristics used for pre-stratification partitioning of drainages
- Figure 2.35: Spatial distribution of pre-stratification partitioning of drainages based on hydrograph characteristics using the bimodal-intensity index.
- Figure 2.36: Starting the Watershed Characterization module

Figure 2.37: Watershed Characterization form used to select the drainages for generating a report

Figure 2.38: Selecting a predefined aggregation of drainages

Figure 2.39: Selecting drainages and creating new aggregations by clicking on drainages on the map

Figure 2.40: Progress indicator is displayed after pressing the “Generate Report” button

Figure 2.41: Sample of one of the variety of intermediate screens displayed as the report is generated

Figure 2.42: The Watershed Characterization report is generated as a printable PDF file

Figure 2.43: Sample of the type and format of information displayed in the Watershed Characterization report

Figure 2.44: Information displayed in the Watershed Characterization report are printable as PDF files

Figure 2.45: Base GIS data visualization module, MapWindows, loaded with base GIS data sets

Figure 2.46: Sample screen display showing the streamflow analyst loaded with data from a streamflow station

Figure 2.47: Sample screen display showing well log data viewer loaded with 4 separate well log records

Figure 2.48: Concept and early development of the model manager/scenario builder plug-in

Figure 2.49: Steps in the process of conducting a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis

Figure 2.50: Whatcom County population by age group, 2000

Figure 2.51: Whatcom County construction/resources employment, 2001

Figure 2.52: Sub-basins of interest in WRIA 1 planning process

Figure 3.1: Illustration of monitoring framework

Figure 4.1: Adaptive management process

Appendices

- A. Watershed Management Project Structure and Function
- B. March 2000 Scope of Work
- C. WRIA 1 Instream Flow Selection and Adoption Plan, Version 6c
- D. Report and Study Reference Guide
- E. Criteria for Evaluation of Pilot Projects
- F. Programs and Recommendations for Future Consideration
- G. WRIA 1 Watershed Management Plan Approvals